For the Northern District of California

1		
2		
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
4	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
5		
6	OPENWAVE SYSTEMS INC.,	No. C 10-02805 WHA (JCS)
7	Plaintiff(s),	NOTICE OF REFERENCE; ORDER TO MEET AND CONFER AND FILE JOINT
8	v.	LETTER; ORDER SETTING BRIEFING
9	MYRIAD FRANCE S.A.S.,	SCHEDULE
10	Defendant(s).	
11		/

TO ALL PARTIES AND COUNSEL OF RECORD:

The above matter has been referred to Magistrate Judge Joseph C. Spero for resolution of

1) Myriad's Letter Compelling Discovery from Plaintiff Openwave, [Docket No. 177], and 2) The

Motion by Nonparty Google for Protective Order and/or Order Quashing Third Party Subpoena,

[Docket No. 184], and the related Openwave's Letter Brief Compelling Google to Comply with the

Subpoena, [Docket No. 186], and all future discovery matters.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that lead trial counsel for all parties and lead counsel for non-party Google shall meet and confer **in person** in Courtroom A **no later than May 6, 2011**. As there are two separate areas of dispute, these may be two separate meetings. Counsel shall contact the undersigned's Courtroom Deputy, Karen Hom, at (415) 522-2035 to arrange a date and time for the meet-and-confer session.

In the event that counsel are unable to resolve the matters at issue in the Motions, lead trial counsel for the parties and lead trial counsel for non-party Google shall provide a detailed Joint Letter to the Court on each of the two disputes no later than **May 9, 2011.** These Joint Letters, not to exceed ten (10) pages without leave of Court, shall include a description of every issue in dispute and, with respect to each such issue, a detailed summary of each party's final substantive position

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

and its final proposed compromise on each issue. After the Court has received the Joint Letters, the Court will determine what future proceedings, if any, are necessary.

As to Myriad's allegation of non-retention of documents, stated in paragraph 4 of Myriad's Letter Compelling Discovery from Plaintiff Openwave [Docket No. 177], IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that:

- 1. An opening brief on whether or not documents were improperly destroyed and the appropriate remedy, if any, shall be filed by May 9, 2011.
 - 2. The opposition memorandum shall be filed by **May 16, 2011**.
 - 3. The reply brief shall be filed by May 20, 2011.

The Court will determine what further proceedings, if any, are necessary.

LAW AND MOTION HEARING PROCEDURES

Civil law and motion is heard on Friday mornings, at 9:30 a.m., Courtroom A, 15th Floor, United States District Court, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California, 94102.

In the event a future discovery dispute arises, IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that before filing any discovery motion before this Court, the parties must comply with the following:

- 1. Lead trial counsel for both parties must meet and confer *in person* regarding the matter(s) at issue. This meeting shall occur after other efforts to resolve the dispute, such as telephone, e-mail, teleconference, or correspondence, have been unsuccessful. Once those efforts have proved unsuccessful, any party may demand a meeting of lead trial counsel to resolve a discovery matter. Such a meeting shall occur within ten (10) calendar days of the demand. The locations of the meetings shall alternate. The first meeting shall be at a location selected by counsel for Plaintiff(s). If there are any future disputes, the next such meeting shall be held at a location to be determined by counsel for Defendant(s), etc.
- 2. Within five (5) calendar days of the in-person meeting between lead trial counsel referred to above, the parties shall jointly file a detailed letter with the Court, not to exceed ten (10) pages without leave of Court, which will include the matters that remain in dispute, a detailed substantive description of each side's position on each

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

such issue, and a description of each side's proposed compromise on each such issue.
In the absence of permission from the Court, the letter may not exceed ten (10) pages

3. After the Court has received the joint letter, the Court will determine what future proceedings, if any, are necessary.

In the event that the parties continue to be unable to resolve the matters regarding the timing and scope of discovery, the Court will consider what future actions are necessary. These actions may include the following: (1) sanctions against a party failing to cooperate in the discovery process and meet and confer in good faith, as required by this Order, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Local Rules of this Court; and/or (2) requiring the Chief Executive Officers of each party to attend the in-person, meet-and-confer sessions described above. The Court is not entering either of these matters as an Order of the Court at this time, and fully expects counsel to meet their obligations under this Order and under the Local Rules.

Regardless of whether the Court reschedules a hearing date, all opposition and reply papers shall be timely filed according to the originally noticed hearing date, pursuant to Civil L. R. 7-3.

A party or counsel has a continuing duty to supplement the initial disclosure when required under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e)(1).

All filings of documents relating to motions referred to the undersigned shall list the civil case number and the district court judge's initials followed by the designation "(JCS)".

ELECTRONIC FILING AND COURTESY COPIES

Please refer to Civil L. R. 5-4 and General Order No. 45 for the Northern District of California for information relating to electronic filing procedures and requirements.

BEFORE NOON ON THE NEXT BUSINESS DAY FOLLOWING THE ELECTRONIC FILING, THE PARTIES ARE REQUIRED TO LODGE DIRECTLY WITH CHAMBERS ONE PAPER COPY OF EACH DOCUMENT, WHICH IS TO BE DESIGNATED "JCS'S CHAMBERS" COPY."

The failure of counsel or a party to abide by this Order may result in sanctions pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(f).

Case 3:10-cv-02805-WHA Document 199 Filed 04/29/11 Page 4 of 4 IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: April 28, 2011 JØSEPH C. SPERO United States Magistrate Judge